Interview Summary	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/611,958		SNYDER, SCOTT ANDREW	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Romain Jear	nty	3623	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Jamie Wiegard</u> .	(3)			
(2) Romain Jeanty.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 06 June 2004.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>6 and 17</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: None.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)∐ was not r	eached. h)⊡ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>The examiner recomments computer in order to overcome the 101 rejection and to inco 21 and 24.</u>	ded that clain	ns 1, 13 and 24 b	e amended to a	dd a
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenda allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	py of the am	the examiner agr endments that w	eed would rende ould render the c	r the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
		•		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		 Examiner's signa	ture, if required	